22-27152

EU support to maintaining the content and enhancing the EPPO Code content and system to meet new EU and global needs

Final Report

Agreement number - SANTE/2018/G5/EPPO/SI2.793173 Duration: 36 months (2018-12-11 to 2021-12-10) Maximum budget: 150 000 EUR





21 Bld Richard Lenoir 75011 Paris Tel: 33 1 45 20 77 94 EPPO GD: gd.eppo.int E-mail: hq@eppo.int Web: www.eppo.int EU support to maintaining the content and enhancing the EPPO Code content and system to meet new EU and global needs SANTE/2018/G5/EPPO/SI2.793173



Final Report

CONTENTS

1. Short description of the Action

2. Creation of new EPPO Codes

- 2.1 Methodology followed for the creation of new EPPO Codes
- 2.2 Tools developed to record the number of Codes created
- 2.3 Numbers of new Codes created

3. Answers to specific requests or needs

- 3.1 EUROPHYT
- 3.2 TRACES
 - 3.2.1. Requests from the TRACES team
 - 3.2.2 Requests from the Dutch NPPO in relation to TRACES
- 3.3 PPPAMS
- 3.4. List of Invasive Alien Species of Union concern
- 3.5 Transfer of Q-bank database to EPPO
- 3.6 Changes in taxonomy and nomenclature
 3.6.1 How to apply the new nomenclature for fungi 'One fungus One name' in GD?
 3.6.2 Other taxonomic changes considered in GD
- 3.7 Host plant lists in GD and synergies with the revision of the EPPO datasheet project
- 3.8 Quarantine lists

4. Meetings related to EPPO Codes

- 4.1 EPPO Codes Users webinars
- 4.2 Meetings of the Panel on Harmonization of data on plant protection products
- 4.3 Meetings of the Working Party on Plant Protection Products

5. Progress on helpdesk facilities and information for the EPPO Codes users

- 5.1 EPPO Codes Monthly Newsletter
- 5.2 Guidance documents for users
- 5.3 Visibility of Union funding

6. Enhancement of the EPPO Data Services

7. Enhancement of the search and display facilities in the EPPO Global Database

- 7.1 Visualization of the EPPO classification for PPPs uses
- 7.2 Visualization of the biological classification
- 7.3 EPPO GD Desktop
- 7.4 Synergies with the revision of the EPPO datasheets
- 8. A few concluding remarks

APPENDIX 1 - New EPPO Codes created during the project [Full list is in a separate Excel file].

APPENDIX 2 - New EPPO Codes created during the project for TRACES

1. SHORT DESCRIPTION OF THE ACTION

Since 1997, EPPO has been maintaining in a database a set of five and six letter codes for plants of importance in agriculture and trade, and for plant pests. Codes are available for over 94 000 plant and pest species with over 490 000 common names in more than 80 languages (as of December 2021), in addition to the preferred scientific name and synonyms. Codes are not deleted, nor are the meanings changed retrospectively, so they provide a degree of stability for long term databases, even when the name or taxonomic relationships of an organism are changed by new scientific studies.

The Codes were originally developed by Bayer in the 1970s and are still used by phytopharmaceutical companies and regulators in the plant protection products area, including the EU. EPPO Codes are increasingly needed for other applications such as electronic phytosanitary certificates, and notifications of non-compliance following inspection of imported consignments. An increasing number of additional Codes are requested by the EU and EU stakeholders to meet these needs, as well as by other EPPO Code users (e.g. IPPC, CABI, phytopharmaceutical industry, NPPOs).

The Codes are publicly available in the EPPO Global Database (GD), and since 2014, at the explicit request of the EU Commission, Codes have been made an 'open-data' system. Because Codes are free access, there is no possibility for EPPO to charge users of the Codes. An EU contribution was therefore requested to enable the enhancement of the EPPO Code system to meet a number of new needs, both within the EU and globally. As a result, a first grant agreement for a one-year period (SANTE/2017/GS/EPPO/S12.768842) was signed between EPPO and the European Commission on the 15th of December 2017. The current grant agreement (SANTE/2018/G5/EPPO/S12.793173) was signed between EPPO and the European Commission on the 10th of December 2018 for a 36 month-period and is in many ways a continuation of the first one.

The main objective of this action is to enhance the EPPO Code System so that it better meets the new EU and global needs. The action also aims to achieve the following more specific objectives:

- Creation of new EPPO Codes
- Enhancement of the EPPO Data Services (<u>https://data.eppo.int/</u>)
- Enhancement of the search and display facilities in the EPPO Global Database
- Development of a better communication between the EPPO Secretariat and the users of the EPPO Codes

2. CREATION OF NEW EPPO CODES

2.1 Methodology followed for the creation of new EPPO Codes

The creation of new Codes goes well beyond the simple creation of a combination of 5-6 letters, and differs between taxonomic and non-taxonomic Codes:

Taxonomic Codes: the EPPO Secretariat verifies that the taxon does not already exist in the database under another name. The validity of the taxon has to be verified and detailed information should be gathered on its preferred scientific name with authority(ies), synonyms, common names, and taxonomic position. Consultation of taxonomic publications and websites is always requested. In some cases, taxonomic confusion may render this exercise more complicated than initially thought. It may also lead to changes of content for existing Codes due to recent taxonomic changes reflected in the scientific literature and taxonomic databases (e.g. new preferred name, new taxonomic classification), and to deactivations of Codes.

Consideration of the taxonomic position of one species may also lead to changes to the existing taxonomic tree at higher levels (e.g. genera, family, even up to class) and commonly to reclassification of many genera and species that are already in Global Database.

• Non-taxonomic Codes: as the EPPO harmonized classification and coding of the uses of plant protection products has been developed by the EPPO Panel on harmonization of data on plant protection products, requests for non-taxonomic Codes are reviewed by this Panel. As it meets only once a year, a fast-track procedure has been elaborated to better meet the needs of the users.

More details about the creation of new Codes can be found in a presentation made in 2021: <u>https://www.eppo.int/media/uploaded_images/MEETINGS/Meetings_2021/webinar/02_EPPO_Codes_2021_ASR_VL.pdf</u>

2.2 Tools developed to record the number of Codes created

In order to calculate the number of new Codes created within a given period of time, basic statistic and administration tools were already in place in the EPPO Global Database, but additional ones have been developed to answer the particular needs of this project, i.e. to manage long lists of requests for new Codes or develop specific queries and control tools.

2.3 Numbers of new Codes created

During this three-year project, the following numbers of new Codes were created (see Appendix 1 and Excel file).

| 1 year | 2 nd year | 3 rd year | Total |
|--------|----------------------|----------------------|--------|
| 4 323 | 4 997 | 4 518 | 13 838 |

These new Codes were created:

- to answer specific requests made by NPPOs;
- to answer specific requests made by the European Commission;
- to answer the needs of the phytopharmaceutical industry;
- to answer the needs of the Minor Uses Coordination Facility (MUCF), and the EPPO harmonized classification of Plant Protection Products (PPPs) uses;
- to answer particular needs expressed by users (e.g. for tropical weeds, seed-borne pathogens, *Colletotrichum* species);
- to answer the needs of the EPPO Secretariat (e.g. to index the EPPO Reporting Service articles; to improve lists of host plants of regulated pests; to cover all biological control agents included in the EPPO Standard PM 6/3(4) *List of biological control agents widely used in the EPPO region* or all pests mentioned in the EPPO Standards on Diagnostics (PM 7 series); to add pests mentioned in the EPPO Study on bark and ambrosia beetles on non-coniferous wood; to add species from a recent international list of commercial timber tree species; to add species of cacti that are traded; to use recent reviews of taxonomic groups to update the content of Global Database; to add pests mentioned in the EPPO Q-bank Database (e.g. fungi, Tephritidae)..

Note: the creation of new codes represents only part of the time the EPPO Secretariat is spending on the coding system. Significant efforts are also made to follow taxonomic changes (i.e. changes in nomenclature and classification for species already in EPPO Global Database), to add authorities when they are missing, to verify the absence of duplicates, and to add common names in different languages.

3. ANSWERS TO SPECIFIC REQUESTS OR NEEDS

3.1 EUROPHYT

The following 9 requests for new Codes have been made by the Europhyt-Interception team in 2019 and 2020 (none in 2021):

| Pest names Chrysobothris quadriimpressa Chrysobothris sexsignata Graphisurus fasciatus Leptostylus transversus Macrochenus guerinii Momordica hispida (= M. dioica, M. tuberosa) Silvanoprus faai | EPPO Codes CHRBQU CHRBSX GRFSFA LSTYTR MCCHGU MONTU SILVFA | Year 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 2019 |
|--|---|--|
| Silvanoprus fagi Chariessa pilosa Minthea squamigera | SILVFA CRSAPI MINTSQ | 2019 2020 2020 |
| | | |

3.2 TRACES

3.2.1 Requests from the TRACES team

Individual requests are regulary made by the TRACES team, and as a result 373 new Codes (mainly for plant species) were created: 10 in 2019, 37 in 2020, and 325 in 2021.

It can be noted that a sharp increase occurred in 2021, most probably reflecting the wider use of TRACES by EU Member States (see Appendix 2).



3.2.2 Requests from the Dutch NPPO in relation to TRACES

During the implementation phase of TRACES, the Dutch NPPO raised some concerns about the fact that the EPPO Coding system was still lacking many plant names when compared to their national IT systems, and also contained duplicated plant names (e.g. homonyms with different author's names or preferred name and synonyms of the same species covered by different EPPO Codes) which were creating confusion for their users. The EPPO Secretariat was made aware of this at the end of 2019 and discussions were immediately initiated with the Dutch NPPO. In 2020, a list of approximately 300 plant names was analyzed and missing Codes were created. In addition, the EPPO Secretariat developed new IT tools to detect duplicated names. A thorough analysis was made during the second semester of 2020 and all necessary corrections were made (i.e. removal of homonyms and deactivation of unnecessary codes). It is now planned to conduct regular 'cleaning operations' to avoid these duplications of names.

3.3 PPPAMS

The EPPO Secretariat is in regular contact with the PPPAMS team in the European Commission, DG SANTE, and most of the work concerning the development of non-taxonomic Codes is done within the framework of the EPPO Panel on Harmonization of data on plant protection products and the Working Party on Plant Protection Products.

During the 10th Meeting of the Panel on Harmonization of data on plant protection products which took place in Brussels (2020-03-03/04), a practical demonstration of PPPAMS was made to all participants. This allowed the EPPO Secretariat and the Panel members to better understand how EPPO Codes (both taxonomic and non-taxonomic) are being used in the database. In October 2020, a useful teleconference was held between the PPPAMS team and the EPPO Secretariat to discuss the practical use of EPPO Codes in PPPAMS. The aim of this teleconference was also to reflect on possible technical solutions to solve difficulties encountered in PPPAMS when using non-taxonomic codes that are not part of the new EPPO harmonized classification of plant protection uses¹. The EPPO Secretariat recommended again that the non-taxonomic codes which are not part of the EPPO classification of plant protection uses should not be made available to PPPAMS users and presented IT solutions on how to exclude these codes from PPPAMS.

3.4 List of Invasive Alien Species of Union concern

At its own initiative, the EPPO Secretariat decided to create Codes for all species included in the List of Invasive Alien Species of Union concern (EU Regulation 1143/2014²), as many animal species were not coded for. In addition, the complete EU list can now be obtained and viewed from the EPPO Global Database: <u>https://gd.eppo.int/rppo/EU/categorization</u>

| Home Standards - | Photos - | Reporting Service | Explore | e by 👻 | EPPO GD Desktop | Download user | guide | |
|------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------|------------------|
| uropean Union (E | U) | | | | | | |) f ¥ |
| | | Categorization | | | | | | |
| MENU | | List | ô | Orga | nism | ^ | Main type taxo | Year addition |
| Overview Cotoonalization | | IAS of Union con | cerr 🔻 | Search | | | - select - 🔻 | - select V |
| Categorization | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Acacia | saligna | | Plantae | 2019 |
| TOOLS | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Acrido | theres tristis | Animalia | 2019 | |
| Save list as ever | el file | IAS of Union conce | on concern Ailanthus altissima | | | | Plantae | 2019 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | 5 of Union concern Alopochen aegyptiacus | | | | Animalia | 2017 |
| Save list as csv | file | IAS of Union conce | icern Alternanthera philoxeroides | | | Plantae | 2017 | |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Andropogon virginicus | | | Plantae | 2019 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Arthu | rdendyus triangulat | us | Platyhelminthes | 2019 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Asclep | oias syriaca | | Plantae | 2017 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Bacch | aris halimifolia | | Plantae | 2016 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Cabor | nba caroliniana | | Plantae | 2016 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Callos | ciurus erythraeus | | Rodentia | 2016 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Cardiospermum grandiflorum | | | Plantae | 2019 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Cenchrus setaceus | | | Plantae | 2017 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Corta | leria jubata | | Plantae | 2019 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | rn | Corvu | s splendens | | Animalia | 2016 |
| | | IAS of Union conce | irn | Ehrha | rta calycina | | Plantae | 2019 |

¹ These non-taxonomic Codes (e.g. NNNGG for cereal plants, NNNAA for spice plants) have been created by Bayer and are still included in the EPPO Global Database (under a separate subgroup of Codes called 'Unclassified') because they might be useful to other users. However, some of these Codes may overlap with Codes specifically created for the new EPPO harmonized classification of plant protection products uses, such as NNNGG (cereal plants) with 3CERC (cereal crops), or NNNAA (spice plants) with 3SPIC (spice crops).

² Regulation (EU) No 1143/2014 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 22 October 2014 on the prevention and management of the introduction and spread of invasive alien species

3.5 Transfer of Q-bank database to EPPO

Q-bank is a diagnostic database focusing on quarantine pests. It was originally created as part of a Dutch project, then it was further developed in the framework of the EU-funded project QBOL. At the end of the QBOL project, the database was financed by the Dutch Ministry of Economic Affairs from 2011 to 2019. In May 2019, the database was transferred to EPPO to secure its future development and maintenance. The database is now called EPPO-Q-bank (<u>https://qbank.eppo.int/</u>). It mainly contains DNA sequences for blasting, protocols for barcoding, and information on where to find biological material for diagnostic purposes. A unique feature of this EPPO database is that its contents are managed and maintained by 19 curators and not by the EPPO Secretariat. As in all other EPPO databases, EPPO Codes are used as a unique identifyer for each taxon.

The transfer of Q-bank to EPPO in 2019 generated many requests for new Codes. More than 700 taxa had to be reviewed to see if new codes had to be created or if other modifications (changes in preferred names and synonyms or in the classification) were needed in GD. Many of these cases corresponded to look-alike organisms (as most quarantine pests are already coded for) for which DNA sequences were available in Q-bank. In 2021, a request was made by entomologist curators to create new Codes for more than 120 insect species (mainly fruit flies).

Specific IT tools were developed for the curators so that they could use 'temporary' codes (all starting by letter Z and followed by digits) for pests that are not yet included in the EPPO Code system and at the same time automatically inform by email the EPPO Secretariat that new Codes are needed. Once the new Code is ready, the temporary code is replaced by the EPPO Secretariat within a dedicated interface of EPPO-Q-Bank. The aim is to facilitates curator's work and avoid any unnecessary delays while they enter new data in EPPO-Q-bank.

EPPO QBank Website

| New temporary code |
|--|
| Temp code: Z00322 latinname: Archibaccharis serratifolia author: (Kunth) S.F.Blake synonyms: taxopos: species; Archibaccharis references: http://theplantlist.org/tpl1.1/record/gcc-133187 addinfos: |
| Please follow the link to replace the Temp Code to the EPPOCode: https://qbank.eppo.int/admin/tmpcode2eppocode?tempcode=Z00322 |

Example of an email automatically generated by EPPO-Q-bank when temporary codes are used and new EPPO Codes are requested.



Specific interface within EPPO-Q-bank to replace a temporary code by an EPPO Code.

3.6 Changes in taxonomy and nomenclature

With the increasing use of new molecular techniques and phylogenetic studies, biological classification is undergoing numerous modifications and it is a challenge for the EPPO Secretariat to follow changes that are taking place in all disciplines at a very rapid pace. When the EPPO Secretariat is informed of these changes (e.g. when studying scientific papers or via personal communications with experts), modifications are made to the database.

3.6.1 How to apply the new nomenclature for fungi 'One fungus - One name' in GD?

In 2011, the 'International Code of Nomenclature for algae, fungi, and plants' was significantly changed during the XVIII International Botanical Congress in Melbourne (AU). In particular, it was agreed that in mycology, the use of separate names for asexual and sexual stages of fungi should no longer be permitted and as for other disciplines, each taxon should only have one name. This major revision, often called 'One fungus – One name', is a challenge for taxonomists who will have to review pleomorphic fungi and decide whether the different morphs described correspond to the same taxon, and decide which name should be kept (for genera, in most cases the oldest valid genus name will be chosen). It is also a challenge for database managers, the main difficulties for the EPPO Secretariat are to find the 'accepted' decisions about which genus names should be kept, and to reflect these changes in the EPPO Global Database.

For example, several fungi included in GD were previously placed under the genera *Guignardia* (sexual stages) and *Phyllosticta* (asexual stages). In order to follow the 'One fungus – One name', it was recently decided that *Phyllostica* was the accepted genus name (and not *Guignardia*). As a consequence, most of the *Guignardia* species have been transferred into *Phyllosticta*. However, for some species previously attributed to *Guignardia*, it was not clear from the literature or from taxonomic databases (Mycobank, Index Fungorum) to which genus they should be attributed. The status of these species has not been clarified to date. For the moment, the EPPO Secretariat has decided to keep those species under 'Guignardia (rejected name)' with a note saying: '*Based on recent nomenclature changes* (*e.g. One fungus, One name*), *the name Phyllostica was retained over Guignardia*, and *Guignardia* is considered a synonym of Phyllostica. However, the species that remain under Guignardia in EPPO Global Database have not been reallocated to Phyllosticta or other genera to date'. In the future, it is hoped that adequate information will be found to allocate these remaining *Guignardia* species to the appropriate genus and deactivate the code for *Guignardia* (1GUICG), but this will probably take some time, or will never happen if these species are never included in studies allowing them to be reallocated to the proper genus.

In 2019, the EPPO Secretariat decided to start addressing the issue of 'one fungus – one name' by studying how the review could be performed for fungal families (e.g. Didymellaceae, Nectriaceae), or specific genera (e.g. *Phyllosticta/Guignardia, Stagonospora/Hendersonia, Monilinia/Monilia, Pochonia, Colletotrichum/Glomerella, Cytospora/Valsa/Leucostoma, Plagiostoma/Cryptodiaporthe*). Such review represents a major task. For each taxonomic group (genus or family), the current status of every genus or species in GD is reviewed, transfers or other changes are made, and uncertainties are expressed in notes. This type of review, starting with families containing major plant pathogenic genera/species was continued in 2020 and 2021 in a systematic manner, based on a list of all fungi taxa in GD, and will continue in the future but probably at a slower pace. In many cases, all families within orders were reviewed, for example Dothideales, Helotiales, Mycosphaerellales, Pleosporales or Venturiales. Because the taxonomy of fungi is a field with rapid progress, further adjustments will be necessary in the future.

3.6.2 Other taxonomic changes considered in GD

At its own initiative, the EPPO Secretariat decided to review in detail the classification of some pest or plant groups. These specific reviews generally lead to many synonymizations, transfers from one genus to another and addition of author's names when missing. The main difficulty in this type of exercice was to find suitable sources of reliable taxonomic information. Over the 3 year-project, the following specific topics were addressed:

- Extensive review of noctuids as these insects are no longer grouped under a single family 'Noctuidae', but have been reclassified into several families (e.g. Erebidae, Noctuidae sensu stricto, Nolidae, Notodontidae).
- Extensive review of the Curculionidae family (Coleoptera) and creation of subfamilies (e.g. Curculioninae, Platypodinae, Scolytinae) to move all genera and species to their currently accepted taxonomic position.
- Extensive review of the nematode classification.
- Review of the Eurytomidae family (Hymenoptera).
- Review of the *Formica* genus (Hymenoptera).
- Review of the Elsinoaceae family (Fungi)
- Review of the *Fusarium* genus (Fungi).
- Review of Pontederiaceae family (Plantae)
- Review of the genera *Fraxinus* and *Salix* (Plantae).
- Review of the Gammaproteobacteria.
- Use of the correct nomenclature for all '*Candidatus* species' names (quotes are not well adapted to computer systems but this technical difficulty could be overcome).
- Addition of 16Sr groups and subgroups for '*Candidatus* Phytoplasma spp.' in the notes.
- Apply changes made by the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) during the project period, in particular the introduction of new ranks in the classification in 2021 (e.g. realm, kingdom, phylum, class, order, family).

3.7 Host plant lists in GD and synergies with the revision of the EPPO datasheet project

For more than 1700 pests of regulatory interest, the EPPO Global Database provides lists of host plants. In order to be included in the database, EPPO Codes are of course necessary for all these plant species. In recent years and in the framework of PRA and regulatory activities, EPPO Panels have expressed the wish to have more exhaustive and more accurate lists of host plants. As a consequence and since 2019/2020, the EPPO Secretariat is making efforts to provide exhaustive lists with bibliographic sources for each host plant record. In addition, the host plant categories that are displayed in the EPPO Global Database have been simplified. As the database already contains more than 21 000 host plant records, this represents a major undertaking which cannot be completed within a short period of time. However, to accelerate this process, synergies have been developed with the revision of the EPPO datasheets on regulated pests (each datasheet contains a list of host plants that is now automatically generated by the EPPO Global Database). It can be recalled that in March 2020, a distinct project was launched to revise the EPPO datasheets on regulated pests. Although this project is covered by a separate grant agreement (SANTE/2020/G1/EPPO/SI2.823766), some activities overlap with the development and enhancement of the EPPO Codes and the EPPO Global Database:

- New EPPO Codes: for example, many new codes had to be created for wild host plants of fruit flies (*Anastrepha* spp., *Bactrocera* spp.) or for host plants of highly polyphagous pests (*Helicoverpa* armigera, Tomato spotted wilt virus, Spodoptera frugiperda).
- New database features a text field has been added to indicate bibliographic sources for individual host records and new categories of hosts have been implemented.

- New IT tools: database managers now have the possibility to inject bulk data on host plants to save time on data entry.

3.8 Quarantine lists

The EPPO Global Database contains quarantine lists for a limited number of countries (in the Categorization section), and the EPPO Secretariat has the objective to increase the database coverage. For most countries, quarantine lists include several hundreds of names of regulated pests. In particular for countries that are outside the EPPO region, some regulated pest's names may not be included in the database. The status of each missing name needs to be reviewed in detail: it may be a synonym of a species already in the database, a species for which a new code should be created, or a name that cannot be easily resolved by the EPPO Secretariat (e.g. a disease corresponding to several pathogens, a combination genus/species that cannot be found in the literature). As a result of this analysis, new EPPO Codes have to be created and changes made to the database (e.g. to add synonyms). In the EPPO Global Database, a new comment field has been inserted in the Categorization section to display lists of names that could not be resolved for the country concerned. During this project, the EPPO Secretariat has added or updated the quarantine lists of:

- Argentina
- Brazil
- Canada
- Chile
- COSAVE
- EAEU

- Egypt - EU
- Georgia
- Jordan
- Mexico
- Moldova

- Morocco
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Ukraine

4. MEETINGS RELATED TO EPPO CODES

4.1 EPPO Codes Users webinars

In order to facilitate the communication between the EPPO Secretariat and the users of the EPPO Codes, webinars took place in 2019 and 2021. Due to the pandemic situation in 2020 and the workload of the EPPO Secretariat during the International Year of Plant Health, no webinar took place in 2020. These webinars are open to all interested EPPO Codes users. The objectives of these meetings are to explain how the Codes are maintained and developed by the EPPO Secretariat, to share experience among users and collect feed-back about possible future improvements of the coding system. All presentations made during these webinars are available from the EPPO website.

Topics presented at the 4th webinar, 2019-02-20 - attended by more than 70 participants.

| Opening and introduction to EPPO activities | Nico Horn, EPPO Director-General |
|---|--|
| EPPO Codes: an overview | Anne-Sophie Roy, EPPO |
| EPPO Global Database online tools (live demo) | Damien Griessinger, EPPO |
| EPPO Codes to describe uses of plant protection products | Valerio Lucchesi, EPPO |
| EPPO Codes: new developments in the framework of the EU grant agreement | Anne-Sophie Roy, EPPO |
| Usage of EPPO Codes in plant protection industry | Kristin Hanzlik, BASF (for ECPA) |
| Harmonization of crop and pest data by using the EPPO Global database | Diego Guidotti, Regional Phytosanitary |
| | Service of Tuscany Region (Italy) |

Topics presented at the 5th webinar, 2021-06-22 - attended by more than 100 participants

| EPPO Codes: Building blocks for information systems | Anne-Sophie Roy and Valerio Lucchesi, EPPO |
|---|--|
| How to synchronize EPPO data with LogShipping | Damien Griessinger, EPPO (ppt file) |
| The use of EPPO codes for pesticide assessments performed by EFSA | Hermine Reich, EFSA |
| Stop worrying and use R to query EPPO | Michał Czyż, Kynetec |
| ISTA Reference Pest List & the use of EPPO codes | Nicolas Denancé, GEVES Beaucouzé (FR) |
| French Epidemiological Plant Health Surveillance Platform uses EPPO codes | Lucie Michel, INRAE (FR) |
| The use of EPPO codes in tropical weed science | Thomas Le Bourgeois, CIRAD (FR) |
| The use of EPPO codes by the INRAE biosafety information system | Nicolas Champseix, INRAE (FR) |
| | |

4.2 Meetings of the Panel on Harmonization of data on plant protection products

Although the EPPO Panel on Harmonization of data on plant protection products was not specifically created for this project, a large part of its work relates to the development of the EPPO Codes, in particular for non-taxonomic entities that are of particular interest to those working in the area of plant protection products (PPPs). From 2019 to 2021, this Panel met every year (Milano, IT, 2019-02-20 – Brussels, 2020-03-03/04 – Teleconference, 2021-02-09/10) to establish a harmonized classification for plant protection product uses and create EPPO Codes that are needed to describe these uses. On several occasions, members of the PPPAMS team attended the Panel meetings and this was the opportunity to continue discussing the use of EPPO Codes in PPPAMS. In 2021, the revision of the EPPO Standard PP 1/248 Harmonized classification and coding of the uses of PPP³ was finalized, as well as its associated EPPO Codes⁴.

4.3 Meetings of the Working Party on Plant Protection Products

The EPPO Working Party on Plant Protection Products mets every year (in May or June) to direct the technical work of EPPO Panels concerned with efficacy evaluation and registration of plant protection products. From 2019 to 2021, the Working Party followed closely the development of the EPPO harmonized classification for plant protection uses and considered that it was completed in June 2021.

³ The newly adopted EPPO Standard PP 1/248 (3) Harmonized system for classification and coding of the uses of plant protection products can be viewed here : <u>https://pp1.eppo.int/standards/PP1-248-3</u>

⁴ These Codes can be viewed here : <u>https://gd.eppo.int/taxon/</u>

and the classification can be viewed as a tree here : https://gd.eppo.int/PPPUse/

During this revision process, more than 300 new Codes were created to describe the following main elements of the classification and were approved by the Working Party:

- Crop groups
- Treated objects
- Targets
- Crop destinations
- Locations of PPP use
- Treatments

In many cases, these non taxonomic codes are accompanied by a brief definition which appear in a note field in the EPPO Global Database.

| Dverview for a non-taxonomic code | |
|--|------|
| Basic information | |
| EPPO Code: 3GREEL | |
| Preferred name: greenhouses | |
| Notes | |
| A walk-in, static, closed place of crops produc with a usually translucent outer shell, which | tion |
| allows controlled exchange of material and | |
| energy with the surroundings and prevents | |
| release of plant protection products into the | |
| environment. | |

5. PROGRESS ON HELPDESK FACILITIES AND INFORMATION FOR THE EPPO CODES USERS

5.1 EPPO Codes Monthly Newsletter

A free monthly newsletter summarizing the main changes that are made to the EPPO Codes was launched in March 2018. Any interested person can obtain this newsletter by registering via the EPPO Global Database. As of December 2021, more than 900 users had registered to the mailing list. This newsletter is automatically generated from the database and displays in a simple way several lists of new and deactivated Codes (with links to replacement Codes).

Other modifications that are made to the database, such as changes in preferred scientific names, authors, synonyms, or common names are deliberately not shown to keep the newsletter easy to read. It was also considered that these more detailed modifications can be traced by other means in the core database files (e.g. for users who are downloading the core files via the EPPO Data Services).

| Objet : Date : | (EPPO GD) EPPO Codes Hor mercredi 2 décembre 2020 (| CNy Newsletter: 2020-11 14:05:04 |
|---|---|---|
| EPPO | O Codes Mo | onthly Newsletter: 2020-11 |
| This free as main modif month cove of tracing a of deactiva from the ds codes, such synonyms, these modif downloadin More gener | ewsletter is addressed to fications that have been reed is indicated in the ti najor changes. The New ted codes with their repl tubase. Other modificat as changes in preferred common names are not fications can be traced in g EPPO Codes files vis al information about the | all EPPO Codes users. In elogence is to summarize to they and the start with an easy and transporter with they and provide users with an easy and transporter with distret contains in all of astriy created codes and a list accement codes. These lists are automatically generated to concerning data that is related to shortly existing a start of the start of |
| Summ: | arv | |
| New code Deactivate | s 699 ad 12 | |
| New co | des | |
| Microor | ganism | |
| | Deutonen | - |
| Code | r rei name | - |
| TARPOD | Labralla en | |
| RAFFSA | Raffaelea santoroi | - |
| Animal | | |
| | | |
| Code | Fret name | |
| BASLSU | pasuepta subcostata | |
| DIABLG | Disorotica longicornis | |
| TIDNIDDT | Chiamagamais January | |
| HPNDBI | | |
| HPNDBI STIMLO | Tranidactic collegis | |

As explained above, lists of Codes are automatically generated but the EPPO Secretariat has the possibility to add more text whenever needed and remains responsible for sending the newsletter once considered ready.

5.2 Guidance documents for users

Considering the important changes made to the lists of host plants and the publication of revised datasheets in the EPPO Global Database, the **general guide on how to use the EPPO Global Database** was revised in 2020: <u>https://gd.eppo.int/media/files/general_user-guide.pdf</u>

5.3 Visibility of Union funding

In accordance with Article II.8 of the agreement about the visibility of Union funding, the following text with the EU flag was added in early January 2019 to all pages of the EPPO Global Database (<u>https://gd.eppo.int</u>). *European Union funding: For a three-year period (2018-12-11 to 2021-12-10), EPPO has been awarded an EU grant for the further development of the EPPO code system (agreement nb: SANTE/2018/G5/EPPO/ SI2.793173). The EU Commission is not responsible for any use that may be made of the information from this project subsequently included in the EPPO Global Database.*



Disclaimer appearing at the bottom of every page in GD

6. ENHANCEMENT OF THE EPPO DATA SERVICES

The core files of the EPPO Code system can be downloaded in several formats from a dedicated platform (EPPO Data Services: <u>https://data.eppo.int/</u>) to meet the different needs of the users. This platform requires constant IT maintenance and adjustments are regularly made to better serve the users or correct some IT issues. During the period considered, no major changes were made but the EPPO IT Officer has been regularly providing support to users of the EPPO Data Services.

| File type | Documentation |
|-----------|---|
| TXT | read more |
| XML | read more |
| XML | read more |
| XML | read more |
| SQLite3 | in preparation |
| TXT | in preparation |
| ZIP | read more |
| | File type TXT XML XML XML XML SQLite3 TXT ZIP |

Currently available file formats in the EPPO Data Services Platform

7. ENHANCEMENT OF THE SEARCH AND DISPLAY FACILITIES IN THE EPPO GLOBAL DATABASE

7.1 Visualization of the EPPO classification for PPPs uses

The development of non-taxonomic Codes, and in particular those that are describing plant protection products uses, have required significant changes in the EPPO Global Database interface to search and display these Codes. In 2019, new features were included in the EPPO Global Database to allow users to visualize this classification.

| EPPO Global Database | Q Sea | arch by name or EPI a | PO code C | io! | A My distributed © Logouit | |
|--|--|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--------------------|
| Home Standards - Photos | - Reporting Service E | Splore by - Be | ta features + | EPPO GD Desktop | 🖹 Download user guide | Explore the PPP us |
| EPPO Computer codes for the u | ses of plant protectio | ountries egional Plant Protect | ion Organizatio | ns / EU / EAEU | | classification |
| The EPPO harmonized classificat product uses, so that uses in diffi elements characterizing a use an | ion and coding of the up rent countries can be c t listed below. | ata Sheets | | m for alenc | consistently characterizing e. In this classification, the main | |
| Please note that the EPPO harms | nized classification is s | PP uses classification | 1 | | | |
| | Crop groups | 3CRGK | View the exp | anded list | | |
| | Treated objects | 3NCRK | View the exp. | anded list | | |
| | Targets | 3TARGK | View the exp | anded list | | |
| | Crop destinations | 3CRODK | View the exp | anded list | | |
| | Crop locations | 3CROLK | View the exp | inded list | | |
| | Treatments | 3TREAK | not available | | | |
| | Qualifiers | 3QUAL I | not available | | | |

How to explore GD to view the PPP uses classification



Visualization of the crop group classification.

7.2 Visualization of the biological classification

In 2019, a new feature was added to the EPPO Global Database to allow users to view the taxonomic tree for all included organisms.

| 1 | EPP |) al | ٩ | Search by name | or EPPO code | Go! | | & My deshiboer O Logout | d | |
|-----------|-----------------|----------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------|----------------------------|------|------------------|
| | Data | base | | | advanced s | earch | | | | Use the Taxonomy |
| Home | Standards • | Photos - | Reporting Service | Explore by - | Beta features | - EPPO GD I | esitop | 🖹 Download user guide | | explorer tool |
| Toxonor | mu explorer | | | Countries | | | | | / | |
| Taxullui | iny explorer | | | Regional Plant P | rotection Organiz | ations / EU / EAE | | | | |
| Preferred | d name | | | Data Sheets | | | 990 C | ode Level | view | |
| - Anim | nalia | | | Taxonomy expl | xer | | Alim | Kingdom | ۲ | |
| - Archa | aea | | | PPP uses classif | ication | | ARCAN | Kingdom | ۲ | |
| B- Bacte | eria | | | | | | 1BACTK | Kingdom | ۲ | |
| - Chro | mista | | | | | | 1CHRO | K Kingdom | ۲ | |
| E- Fung | ji | | | | | | 1FUNG | Kingdom | ۲ | |
| E- Plant | tae | | | | | | 1PLAK | Kingdom | ۲ | |
| E- Proto | ozoa | | | | | | 1PROTK | Kingdom | ۲ | |
| - Virus | ses and viroids | | | | | | 1VIRUK | Kingdom | ۲ | |

How to explore GD to view the taxonomic classification

| - Magnoliophyta | 1MAGP | Phylum | ۲ |
|----------------------|-------|----------|------|
| 🗄 – Angiospermae | 1ANGC | Class | ۲ |
| E— Basal angiosperms | 1BASD | Category | ۲ |
| Amborellales | 1AMBO | Order | ۲ |
| ⊕ – Austrobaileyales | 1AUSO | Order | ۲ |
| ── Chloranthales | 1CHLO | Order | ۲ |
| | 1NYMO | Order | ۲ |
| ⊞— Cabombaceae | 1CABF | Family | ۲ |
| ⊞— Hydatellaceae | 1HYAF | Family | ۲ |
| - Nymphaeaceae | 1NYMF | Family | |
| 🕀 — Barclaya | 1BCLG | Genus | |
| 🗄 – Euryale | 1EYUG | Genus | Part |
| ⊞− Nuphar | 1NUPG | Genus | |
| ı B− Nymphaea | 1NYMG | Genus | |
| 😑 – Victoria | 1VUG | Genus | |
| — Victoria amazonica | VUAM | Species | |
| — Victoria cruziana | VIJCR | Species | ۲ |
| Victoria sp. | VIJSS | Species | ۲ |

Visualization of the taxonomic classification (here Victoria amazonica).

7.3 EPPO GD Desktop

All EPPO Codes are displayed within the EPPO Global Database which is an online database where updates are made in real-time. As some EPPO member countries have expressed the wish that EPPO's information (including the EPPO Codes) should be available in the absence of any Internet connexion (e.g. when working in the field), therefore an 'off-line' version of the EPPO Global Database called 'EPPO GD Desktop' was launched during summer 2018. It is a piece of software which first needs to be installed on computers. Once installed, no Internet connexion is needed to run it. The EPPO GD Desktop now replaces PQR. GD Desktop was updated in January and June 2019, and in March 2021.

7.4 Synergies with the revision of the EPPO datasheets

In 2020, most enhancements of the search and display facilities in the EPPO Global Database were linked to the revision of the EPPO datasheets (part of another specific project and covered by another EU grant agreement) and to improvements made to lists of host plants of regulated pests. Since 2019, bibliographic sources are added to substantiate plant host records. All new host records are now accompanied by bibliographic sources and work is ongoing to document past host records. The establishment of more exhaustive lists of host plants inevitably requires that new EPPO Codes should be created (in particular for wild hosts).



Documented host plant lists in GD



Simplification of host plant categories in GD

8. A FEW CONCLUDING REMARKS

In the framework of this three-year project, a high number of Codes (more than 13 800) were created. However, from the EPPO Secretariat's point of view, the number of new Codes created reflects only a portion of the efforts that are made and should not be taken as the sole measurement of EPPO's work on EPPO Codes. Particular efforts were focused on creating new codes for plants that are important in trade, or that are hosts of regulated pests. In addition, most of the requests made to the EPPO Secretariat for the creation of new codes correspond to plants. Efforts were also made to detect and eliminate duplicated names to avoid creating confusion for the users of the database. The general maintenance of the database to correct all detected errors should also not be underestimated. These errors, in most cases duplications, are due to synonymization of names and are the main reasons for deactivating codes.

During this project, it has been possible to transfer into the coding system, the harmonized classification of plant protection product uses. This was a challenge for the EPPO Secretariat not only to arrive to an internationally agreed classification, but to set up a group of non-taxonomic codes (e.g. for crop groups), and to develop specific tools for displaying this classification which follow its own rules. For example, in this classification system, a particular plant (e.g. wheat) may belong to distinct crop groups (e.g. winter wheat or spring wheat) whereas in the biological classification, a species belongs to a single genus (i.e. multiple parents / single parent). A tree view has been developed to help users to better understand how this classification of plant protection product uses is structured.

The increasing implementation of molecular tools and phylogenetic studies has brought in numerous changes in the classification of organisms, and it is a challenge for the EPPO Secretariat to follow these changes that are taking place in all disciplines at a rapid pace. It should be underlined that EPPO is not an authority in taxonomy but follows a number of recognized taxonomic sources. Taxonomy is a complex area of science, where sometimes confusion and disagrements are taking place. During this project the EPPO Secretariat made particular efforts to update the taxonomy of important pests such as nematodes, particular insect pest families (e.g. Curculionidae, Noctuidae), fungi (to apply the 'One fungus – One name' new rules) and viruses.

During this project, communication with users has been improved through the constant adaptation of IT tools to query or download the coding system (e.g. logshipping), as well as through the organization of webinars and sending of a monthly newsletter dedicated to EPPO Codes.

As the EPPO Global Database is an online database which can be managed remotely, the successive lockdowns due to COVID-19 have had limited impacts on the work. Although no one can totally ignore the negative impacts of this difficult situation on individuals and team interactions, the EPPO Secretariat has made all possible efforts to continue developing and improving the coding system and the database.

The EPPO Secretariat would like to thank the European Commission for this fruitful collaboration which has allowed huge progress to be made in the development and management of the EPPO coding system. The EPPO Secretariat will continue to actively work on the EPPO Codes, but at the pace allowed by the core budget of the Organization.

APPENDIX 1

New EPPO Codes created during the project

| Types of organisms | | nb |
|-----------------------|------|--------|
| Plants | | 10 460 |
| Fungi and fungus-like | | 1 455 |
| Insects and mites | | 1 293 |
| Insects | 1235 | |
| Mites | 58 | |
| Viruses | | 271 |
| Bacteria | | 137 |
| Nematodes | | 114 |
| Others | | 60 |
| Gastropoda | 6 | |
| Rodents | 1 | |
| Other animals | 46 | |
| Protozoa | 7 | |
| Non-taxonomic | | 48 |
| Total number | | 13 838 |



Important note: For practical reasons, only the first 100 new EPPO Codes are presented below. The full list is provided in a separate Excel file (**ListNewCodes_2019-2021.xlsx**).

| Scientific name | EPPO Code | Main category | Year |
|-----------------------------|-----------|---------------|------|
| Abelia corymbosa | ABECO | Plantae | 2021 |
| Abelmoschus angulosus | ABMAN | Plantae | 2019 |
| Abelmoschus crinitus | ABMCR | Plantae | 2019 |
| Abies hybrids | ABIHY | Plantae | 2020 |
| Abracris | 1ABRCG | Insecta | 2019 |
| Abracris flavolineata | ABRCFL | Insecta | 2019 |
| Abracris sp. | ABRCSP | Insecta | 2019 |
| Abrus canescens | ABRCA | Plantae | 2021 |
| Abutilon longicuspe | ABULO | Plantae | 2021 |
| Abutilon palmeri | ABUPA | Plantae | 2020 |
| Abutilon persicum | ABUPE | Plantae | 2020 |
| Abutilon pseudocleistogamum | ABUPS | Plantae | 2021 |
| Abutilon virginianum | ABUVG | Plantae | 2020 |
| Abutilon viscosum | ABUVS | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia acanthoclada | АСААК | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia acuminata | ACAAZ | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acacia adunca | ACAAW | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia ammobia | ACAAO | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia beckleri | ACABC | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia covenyi | ACACW | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia denticulosa | ACADT | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia drummondii | ACADM | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia fimbriata | ACAFM | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acacia flexifolia | ACAFX | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia gummifera | ACAGU | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia holosericea | ACAHL | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acacia iteaphylla | ACAIT | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia lineata | ACALN | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia spirorbis | ACARR | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acacia suaveolens | ACASV | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acacia triptera | ACATP | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acaciella | 1ZLZG | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acaciella sp. | ZLZSS | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acaena magellanica | ACEMA | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acalitus plucheae | ACLIPL | Acari | 2019 |
| Acallepitrix | 1ACALG | Insecta | 2019 |
| Acallepitrix nitens | ACALNI | Insecta | 2019 |
| Acalles | 1AAESG | Insecta | 2019 |
| Acalles costifer | AAESCO | Insecta | 2019 |
| Acalles sp. | AAESSP | Insecta | 2019 |

| Scientific name | EPPO Code | Main category | Year |
|--------------------------------|-----------|---------------|------|
| Acalymma blandula | ACAYBL | Insecta | 2019 |
| Acalypha pygmaea | ACCPG | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acalypha siamensis | ACCSI | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acalyphoideae | 1ACCS | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthocalycium leucanthum | AKCLE | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acanthocereus maculatus | ACTMA | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acanthocereus rosei | ACTRO | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acanthocereus tepalcatepecanus | ACTTE | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acanthocinus spectabilis | ACAHST | Insecta | 2019 |
| Acantholimon bracteatum | ACLBR | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acanthonevra | 1ACNVG | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acanthonevra dunlopi | ACNVDU | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acanthonevra sp. | ACNVSP | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acanthophippium | 1BHPG | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthophippium bicolor | BHPBI | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthophippium sp. | BHPSS | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthophippium splendidum | BHPSP | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthophyllum cerastioides | BHUCE | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthorrhinum | 1BZQG | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acanthorrhinum ramosissimum | BZQRA | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acanthorrhinum sp. | BZQSS | Plantae | 2019 |
| Acanthostyles | 1AOVG | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthostyles sp. | AOVSS | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthotomicus suncei | ACTTSU | Insecta | 2020 |
| Acanthus leucostachyus | ACULE | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acanthus sennii | ACUSE | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acarocybella | 1ACYBG | Fungi | 2021 |
| Acarocybella sp. | ACYBSP | Fungi | 2021 |
| Acaropsellina sollers | ACSLSO | Acari | 2020 |
| Acaropsellina sp. | ACSLSP | Acari | 2020 |
| Acatapaustus | 1ACTAG | Insecta | 2019 |
| Acatapaustus sp. | ACTASP | Insecta | 2019 |
| Accipiter gentilis | ACCIGE | Animalia | 2021 |
| Acer calcaratum | ACRKA | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acer erythranthum | ACRET | Plantae | 2021 |
| Acer fenzelianum | ACRFZ | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acer pictum | ACRPU | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acer saccharum var. sinuosum | ACRZI | Plantae | 2019 |
| Aceria annonae | ACEIAN | Acari | 2020 |
| Aceria diospyri | ACEIDI | Acari | 2020 |
| Aceria zelkoviana | ACEIZE | Acari | 2020 |
| Achillea acuminata | ACHAC | Plantae | 2019 |
| Achillea biserrata | ACHBI | Plantae | 2021 |
| Achimenes erecta | AHMER | Plantae | 2021 |

| Scientific name | EPPO Code | Main category | Year |
|------------------------------------|-----------|---------------|------|
| Achlys japonica | BCYJA | Plantae | 2019 |
| Achyranthes aspera var. indica | ACYIN | Plantae | 2020 |
| Achyranthes aspera var. pubescens | ACYAU | Plantae | 2019 |
| Achyranthes aspera var. rubrofusca | ACYRU | Plantae | 2020 |
| Achyranthes diandra | ACYDI | Plantae | 2019 |
| Achyrospermum | 1ZCWG | Plantae | 2020 |
| Achyrospermum sp. | ZCWSS | Plantae | 2020 |
| Achyrospermum wallichianum | ZCWWA | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acidiella | 1ACIEG | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acidiella kagoshimensis | ACIEKA | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acidiella sp. | ACIESP | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acidosasa chinensis | BCSCH | Plantae | 2020 |
| Acidovorax facilis | ACVRFA | Bacteria | 2021 |
| Acidoxantha | 1ACIXG | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acidoxantha bombacis | ACIXBO | Insecta | 2021 |
| Acidoxantha sp. | ACIXSP | Insecta | 2021 |

APPENDIX 2

New EPPO Codes created during the project for TRACES (by year and alphabetical order of names)

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|----------------------------|-----------|------|--------------------|
| Amesiella | 1XMEG | 2019 | Plantae |
| Arachnnis labrosa | AHILA | 2019 | Plantae |
| Citrus sudachi | CIDSD | 2019 | Plantae |
| Diplotropis racemosa | SDHRA | 2019 | Plantae |
| Dipteryx polyphylla | DPXPO | 2019 | Plantae |
| Gastrochilus | 1GAKG | 2019 | Plantae |
| Manilkara huberi | MNKHU | 2019 | Plantae |
| Tabebuia capitata | HDSCA | 2019 | Plantae |
| Tetrapleura tetraptera | TLTTE | 2019 | Plantae |
| Trichoglottis biglandulosa | TGLBI | 2019 | Plantae |
| Adenia spinosa | ADJSP | 2020 | Plantae |
| Adina pilulifera | AFNPI | 2020 | Plantae |
| Aloe ampefyana | ALFAP | 2020 | Plantae |
| Artocarpus camansi | ABFCA | 2020 | Plantae |
| Aulax | 10AXG | 2020 | Plantae |
| Boesenbergia rotunda | BSERO | 2020 | Plantae |
| Camellia hiemalis | CAHHI | 2020 | Plantae |
| Carpobrotus mellei | CBSME | 2020 | Plantae |
| Cephalopentandra | 1QFPG | 2020 | Plantae |
| Cercestis | 1JERG | 2020 | Plantae |
| Cercestis sp. | JERSS | 2020 | Plantae |
| Chimarrhis turbinata | KIMTU | 2020 | Plantae |
| Cibirhiza | 1QIBG | 2020 | Plantae |
| Cirrhaea dependens | ZIRDE | 2020 | Plantae |
| Coleocephalocereus | 1KCCG | 2020 | Plantae |
| Commiphora stocksiana | COQST | 2020 | Plantae |
| Cremnophila linguifolia | CRNLI | 2020 | Plantae |
| Echeveria violescens | ECVVI | 2020 | Plantae |
| edible flowers | NNNEF | 2020 | non-taxonomic code |
| Euphorbia sieboldiana | EPHSB | 2020 | Plantae |
| Euphorbia x inconstantia | EPHXI | 2020 | Plantae |
| Gelidium corneum | GDMCO | 2020 | Plantae |
| Haworthia comptoniana | HAWEC | 2020 | Plantae |
| Inga alba | INGAL | 2020 | Plantae |
| Jordaaniella | 1JORG | 2020 | Plantae |
| Jumellea confusa | JUMCO | 2020 | Plantae |
| manure | YXMAN | 2020 | non-taxonomic code |
| Matucana aureiflora | MTUAU | 2020 | Plantae |
| peat | YXPEA | 2020 | non-taxonomic code |
| Philodendron mexicanum | PIOMX | 2020 | Plantae |

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|----------------------------|-----------|------|--------------------|
| Piptospatha | 1QIPG | 2020 | Plantae |
| potting soil | YXPOS | 2020 | non-taxonomic code |
| Salvia dolomitica | SALDL | 2020 | Plantae |
| Schismatoglottis wallichii | SMGWA | 2020 | Plantae |
| Thamnochortus insignis | TMHIN | 2020 | Plantae |
| x Monnierara | 1WNNG | 2020 | Plantae |
| Zygia racemosa | ZYIRA | 2020 | Plantae |
| Acanthocalycium leucanthum | AKCLE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Acineta | 1VCIG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Adansonia perrieri | AADPE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agastache hybrids | AJTHY | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave colorata | AGVCO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave guadalajarana | AGVGJ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave kavandivi | AGVKA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave macroacantha | AGVMT | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave mitis | AGVMS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave multifilifera | AGVML | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave parrasana | AGVPS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave sebastiana | AGVSB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Agave x nigra | AGVNI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Alantsilodendron humbertii | VLDHU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Albuca concordiana | ABWCO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Aloe rupestris | ALFRP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Aloidendron barberae | AYQBA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Aloina bifrons | AWLBI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Alternanthera lanceolata | ALRLA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Amorphophallus atroviridis | AMUAT | 2021 | Plantae |
| Anacampseros recurvata | BNARE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Anisodontea scabrosa | AODSC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Annona cornifolia | ANUCF | 2021 | Plantae |
| Annona crassiflora | ANUCS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Annona dioica | ANUDC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Annona malmeana | ANUMA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Annona salzmannii | ANUSZ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Annona tomentosa | ANUTO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Annona vepretorum | ANUVE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Anthurium clavigerum | AURCV | 2021 | Plantae |
| Anthurium gracile | AURGR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Aristea spiralis | AQJSP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Arthropodium cirratum | AYPCI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Asparagus macowanii | ASPMW | 2021 | Plantae |
| Asparagus macrorrhizus | ASPMC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Astridia | 1VSRG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Banksia leptophylla | BANLE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Banksia occidentalis | BANOC | 2021 | Plantae |

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|
| Banksia praemorsa | BANMA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Banksia pulchella | BANPU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bauhinia guianensis | SLWGU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bijlia cana | PJICC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Brachyscome graminea | BCHGR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Brassica juncea var. japonica | BRSJJ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Brassica juncea var. rugosa | BRSJR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Brassica oleracea var. palmifolia | BRSOI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Brassica perviridis | BRSPE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbine bruynsii | BLBBR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbine fallax | BLBFA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbine frutescens | BLBFR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbine frutescens | BLBFR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbine haworthioides | BLBHA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbine mesembryanthemoides | BLBME | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbine narcissifolia | BLBNA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Bulbinella cauda-felis | BUBCF | 2021 | Plantae |
| Calamus platyacanthoides | CLUIE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Calathea micans | GPEMI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Caputia medley-woodii | KPUMW | 2021 | Plantae |
| Caralluma flava | DMOFL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cariniana ianeirensis | KNXIA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Caustis blakei | KUJBL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Caustis flexuosa | KUJFL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cenchrus massaicus | CCHMA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ceratocentron | 1ZCTG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ceropegia barberae | CGJBA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Chaetoceros | 1CHETG | 2021 | Chromista |
| Chasmanthe floribunda | CSHFL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Chelone glabra | CHVGL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Chelonistele | 1QELG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Chionochloa flavicans | CJOFC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cineraria saxifraga | CNFSA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cistanthe grandiflora | XISLO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cistanthe longiscapa | XISGR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cistus hybrids | CSTHY | 2021 | Plantae |
| Citrus aurantiifolia | CIDAF | 2021 | Plantae |
| Citrus junos | CIDJU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coelogyne multiflora | CGYMU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea anthonyi | COFAN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea brevipes | COFBR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea ebracteolata | COFEB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea eugenioides | COFEU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea heterocalyx | COFHE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea humblotiana | COFHU | 2021 | Plantae |

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|
| Coffea kapakata | СОҒКА | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea myrtifolia | COFMY | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea pseudozanguebariae | COFPZ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coffea sessiliflora | COFSE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Coleocephalocereus purpureus | KCCPU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Commiphora rostrata | COQRO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Consolea rubescens | KSLRU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cornus hongkongensis | CRWHO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cornus x rutgersensis | CRWRT | 2021 | Plantae |
| Costus villosissimus | CQTVI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Crassula barbata | CSBBB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Crassula nemorosa | CSBNE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Crassula tomentosa | CSBTO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Crassula umbella | CSBUM | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cynorkis | 1ZYKG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Cyphostemma mappia | CWMMP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Darwinara | 1VAOG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Delonix pumila | DEXPU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dicliptera suberecta | DIBSQ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dierama robustum | DRMRO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dietes flavida | DTEFL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Diosma oppositifolia | DZAOP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dipteryx alata | DPXAL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dipteryx punctata | DPXPU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dracontium spruceanum | DKMSP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dracuvallia | 1DRVG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Dregea volubilis | DREVO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Drimia ciliata | DRJCI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Drimia nana | DRJNA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ebracteola wilmaniae | EBRWI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ecclinusa ramiflora | EKLRA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Echeveria shaviana | ECVSH | 2021 | Plantae |
| Echinopsis bridgesii | EHSBR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Edithcolea | EDIG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Elegia elephantina | EEGEL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Erica coccinea | EIACC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Erica pinea | EIAPN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Erigeron leiomerus | ERILE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Eriospermum paradoxum | EOMPA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Eriospermum titanopsoides | EOMTI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Erythrina zeyheri | ERZZE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Erythrophysa alata | ETRAL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Eucalyptus erythronema | EUCEY | 2021 | Plantae |
| Eucalyptus macrocarpa | EUCMP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Eugenia bahiensis | EUEBA | 2021 | Plantae |

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|------------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|
| Eugenia disperma | EUEDI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Eugenia itapemirimensis | EUEIT | 2021 | Plantae |
| Eugenia trichogyna | EUETR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Euphorbia pachypodioides | EPHQC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Euphorbia pseudoburuana | EPHQD | 2021 | Plantae |
| Euphorbia razafindratsirae | EPHFD | 2021 | Plantae |
| Fargesia scabrida | FRZSC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Frithia pulchra | FRHPU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Fuchsia hatschbachii | FUCHA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Garcinia gardneriana | GANGA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Gasteria glomerata | GSRGL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Gazania pectinata | GAZPE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Geranium incanum | GERIN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Gethyllis villosa | GTHVI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Gnetum africanum | GNEAF | 2021 | Plantae |
| Haploesthes greggii | HSHGR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Helichrysum aureum | HECVU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Helichrysum crispum | HECCS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Helichrysum pandurifolium | HECAU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Heliconia longiflora | HEBLO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Hibiscus cannabinus | HIBCA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Hoodia currorii | HWDCU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Hyalosepalum oblongifolium | HSUOB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Hydrangea seemannii | HYESE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Hypericum x hidcoteense | HYPHD | 2021 | Plantae |
| Hypnum curvifolium | HPNCV | 2021 | Plantae |
| Hypocalyptus sophoroides | HKTSO | 2021 | Plantae |
| llex mutchagara | ILEMX | 2021 | Plantae |
| Impatiens kerriae | IPAKE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Impatiens tuberosa | IPATB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Indigofera howellii | INDHW | 2021 | Plantae |
| Inga cylindrica | INGCY | 2021 | Plantae |
| Iris unguicularis subsp. cretensis | IRIUK | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ixodia achilleoides | IXDAC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Kaempferia parviflora | KAEPA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Kleinia fulgens | KIAFU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Kniphofia bruceae | KNIBC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Kniphofia rooperi | KNIRO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Larryleachia marlothii | TCAMA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Lasthenia glabrata | LTEGL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Lechea intermedia | LCEIN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Leiothrix flavescens | LHXFL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Lewisia longipetala | LWSLO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Libertia ixioides | LBEIX | 2021 | Plantae |
| Limonium hybrids | LIIHB | 2021 | Plantae |

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|----------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|
| Lomandra fluviatilis | LQMFL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Lomariopsis lineata | LOQLI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Macoubea guianensis | MBVGU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Macrocystis pyrifera | MROCPY | 2021 | Chromista |
| Mahonia confusa | BEBEU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Malpighia yucatanaea | MLPYU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Malus hybrids | MABHY | 2021 | Plantae |
| Marlothistella | 1MHJG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Megaphrynium macrostachyum | SRFMA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Melaleuca pentagona | MLAPE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Melaleuca spathulata | MLASP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Melaleuca squarrosa | MLASQ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Melianthus comosus | MEHCO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Metroxylon salomonense | MTRSL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Microcoelia | 1MJRG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Brassica rapa subsp. nipposinica | BRSNO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Monsonia vanderietiae | MSNVE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Moquilea salzmannii | MQUSZ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Morus rotundiloba | MORAC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Mystacidium | 1MDIG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Nananthus | 1NAHG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Nannochloropsis | 1NANCG | 2021 | Chromista |
| Neobenthamia | 1PQYG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Neomoorea wallisii | NMOWA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Neoveitchia storckii | NVTST | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ocimum gratissimum | OCIGR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Oerstedella | 1EPDG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Operculicarya borealis | ОРКВО | 2021 | Plantae |
| Opuntia quitensis | OPUQI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Origanum majorana | MAJHO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Origanum onites | ORION | 2021 | Plantae |
| Origanum rotundifolium | ORIRO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna cacalioides | OTACC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna carnosa | OTACN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna cremnophila | OTACR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna hallii | OTAHA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna herrei | OTAHE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna lepidocaulis | OTALE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna retrofracta | OTART | 2021 | Plantae |
| Othonna retrorsa | OTARE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Packera werneriifolia | PKRWE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Paederia lanuginosa | PAELA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Paris incompleta | PJRIN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Passiflora pinnatistipula | PAQPI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pavonia praemorsa | PVAPR | 2021 | Plantae |

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|---------------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|
| Pelargonium caroli-henrici | PELCH | 2021 | Plantae |
| Phaeodactylum tricornutum | PHDATR | 2021 | Chromista |
| Phlomis longifolia | PLMLO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Phylica axillaris | ΡΥΚΑΧ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Phymatidium | 1FYDG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Phymatolithon calcareum | FTHCA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Phytelephas aequatorialis | PJPAE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Picramnia glazioviana | PJMGL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pistacia chinensis subsp. integerrima | PIACI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Plectranthus ecklonii | PLFEC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Plectranthus zuluensis | PLFZU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Portulaca eruca | PORER | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pouteria butyrocarpa | POJBU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pouteria confusa | POJCO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pouteria nordestinensis | POJNO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pouteria reticulata | POJRE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pradosia lactescens | PFILA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula alpicola | PRIAC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula anisodora | PRIAN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula apoclita | PRICB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula aurantiaca | PRIAT | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula beesiana | PRIBE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula bullata var. forestii | PRIBF | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula bulleyana | PRIBL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula burmanica | PRIBE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula cernua | PRICE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula chionantha | PRIKI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula chungensis | PRICG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula cockburniana | PRICC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula concholoba | PRICB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula flaccida | PRIFC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula grandis | PRIGN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula kisoana | PRIKS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula luteola | PRILU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula maximowiczii | PRIMX | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula mistassinica | PRIMS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula mollis | PRIML | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula munroi subsp yargonensis | PRIMY | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula muscaroides | PRIMR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula poissonnii | PRIPS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula polyneura | PRIPN | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula prolifera | PRIPF | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula pulverulenta | PRIPV | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula secundiflora | PRISF | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula sikkimensis | PRISK | 2021 | Plantae |

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|--------------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|
| Primula smithiana | PRISM | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula watsonii | PRIWA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula wilsonii | PRIWI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula x bulleesiana | PRIBS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Primula x digeana | PRIDI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Psidium rufum | PSIRU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Psoralea aphylla | PSRAP | 2021 | Plantae |
| Psoralea verrucosa | PSRVE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Pyrenacantha kaurabassana | ΡΧΑΚΑ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Racinaea | 1RAEG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Rhagoletis boycei | RHAGBO | 2021 | Insecta |
| Rhipidoglossum | RIPG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Rubus chingii var. suavissimus | RUBCZ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ruizterania albiflora | RZNAL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Salvia africana-caerulea | SALAC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Salvia discolor | SALDS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Salvia uliginosa | SALUL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Scabiosa columbaria | SCBCO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Schoenorchis | 1ZNKG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Searsia discolor | RHUDS | 2021 | Plantae |
| Selaginella stellata | SELSL | 2021 | Plantae |
| Senecio acetosifolius | SENAC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Sincoraea | 1ZNCG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Sisyrinchium patagonicum | SISPA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Smilax glabra | SMIGB | 2021 | Plantae |
| Staavia radiata | ZTVRA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Stanhopea candida | SNHCA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Stanhopea jenischiana | SNHJE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Stanhopea shuttleworthii | SNHSH | 2021 | Plantae |
| Sudamerlycaste | 1ZDLG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Summerhayesia | 1SUYG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Syncolostemon canescens | HZGCA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tephrosia grandiflora | TEPGR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tetraselmis chui | TLJCH | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tetraselmis suecica | TLJSU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Teucrium ackermannii | TEUAC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Teucrium x lucidrys | TEULC | 2021 | Plantae |
| Theobroma obovatum | ТНООВ | 2021 | Plantae |
| Thuidium delicatulum | THZDE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Thunbergia natalensis | THNNA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Thymus mongolicus | THYMG | 2021 | Plantae |
| Trachyandra tortilis | TNDTO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Trichocereus bridgesii | EHSLA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Trichopilia suavis | TJCSU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tylecodon ellaphieae | TYDEL | 2021 | Plantae |
| | | | |

EU support to maintaining the content and enhancing the EPPO Code content and system to meet new EU and global needs SANTE/2018/G5/EPPO/SI2.793173

| Organism name | EPPO Code | Year | Type of organism |
|------------------------|-----------|------|------------------|
| Tylecodon leucothrix | TYDLE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tylecodon nolteei | TYDNO | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tylecodon racemosus | TYDRA | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tylecodon rubrovenosus | TYDRU | 2021 | Plantae |
| Tylecodon singularis | TYDSI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Ungernia sewerzowii | UGNSE | 2021 | Plantae |
| Vaccinium meridionale | VACMR | 2021 | Plantae |
| Vanheerdea divergens | VHDDI | 2021 | Plantae |
| Vernonia natalensis | HRIAR | 2021 | Plantae |