2020 FRAC Update

EPPO Resistance Panel
17 September 2020
Organization of FRAC 2020 – What’s New?

2020 Changes

FRAC website in future will consider resistance management for compounds outside WGs/EF: Template available!
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FRAC website in future will consider resistance management for compounds outside WGs/EF: Template available!
New FRAC video

https://www.frac.info/home/news

06.29.2020

The FRAC video now available in additional languages

The FRAC video, which explains fungicide resistance and how it can be managed, is now available beside English in following languages: Arabic, Bahasa Indonesia, French, Hindi, Korean, Mandarin, Portuguese, Russian, Spanish, Vietnamese

Please use the following link: FRAC Videos

Swahili and Thai will follow soon.
Fungicide resistance management video available in 11 languages

Available on YouTube

https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=Fw8VXz2UGs&feature=youtu.be
06.29.2020

An update of the FRAC MOA Poster© 2020 and the FRAC Code List© 2020 is now available for download

An update of the 2020-version of the FRAC MOA Poster is now available including the fungicide methacetraprole. This PDF is suitable for printing up to a wall-sized poster print. Copies may be printed freely for educational purposes only.

Also, the updated version of the FRAC Code List© is now available for download in PDF- and Excel-format.

Both publication can be downloaded using following link: www.frac.info/knowledge-database/downloads
FRAC Fungicide classification by cross resistance and mode of action: Poster 2020 (www.frac.info)

>230 fungicides, 65 MOA groups
The updated FRAC Code List© 2020 is now available for download

The 2020-version of the FRAC Code List© is now available for download in PDF- as well as Excel-format. Copies may be printed freely for educational purposes only.

Here is an overview of the most important changes:
F9, OSBPI (Code 49) addition of fluoxapiprolin
BM01: Addition of plant extract from Swinglea glutinosa
Bacillus spp. based compositions formerly F6 (code 44) – moved to BM02
BM02: Inclusion of Bacillus spp from F6
BM02: Addition of new microbial compositions based on:
  * Clonostachys rosea strain CR-07
  * Bacillus spp. strains F727, AFS032321
  * Pseudomonas chlororaphis strain AFS009
  * Streptomyces lydicus strain WYEC108
Remarks for several FRAC codes or active ingredients have been updated regarding resistance situation or to clarify scope of the category - namely B6, I3 (16-3), U13, M01, BM02

The publications can be downloaded using following link: [www.frac.info/publications](http://www.frac.info/publications)
Metyltetraprole Classification

- Creation of a subgroup A within FRAC group 11

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>QoI-fungicides (Quinone outside Inhibitors); Subgroup A</th>
<th>tetrazolinones</th>
<th>metyltetraprole</th>
<th>Resistance not known. Not cross resistant with Code 11 fungicides on G143A</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>High risk</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>See FRAC QoI Guidelines for resistance management.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Assigning different subgroup in accordance with sub-code (for easier awareness)
FRAC Group 11A Recommendations

- Allowing one more QoI application if 11A is included in the spray program, without continuous 11A spraying.
- Mixture of QoI + metyltetraprole is not considered as a valid resistance tactic.

Example in Cereals:
- QoI + QoI + metyltetraprole => OK
- QoI + metyltetraprole + metyltetraprole => OK
- Metyltetraprole + metyltetraprole + metyltetraprole => Not allowed
- QoI + QoI + QoI => Not allowed
- QoI + QoI + metyltetraprole + metyltetraprole => Not allowed
Future additions to the FRAC code list

- Mitsui: Quinofumelin
- Kumiai: Dichlobentiazox Agr
- Kanesho: Aminopyrifen
- Corteva: Florylpicoxamid
- Sumitomo: Pyridachlometyl
- Nippon-Soda: Ipflufenoquin
- Dongguan Hec: Flubeneteram
Recommendations for molecules with no Working Group

- Purpose is to make recommendations for molecules not covered by a FRAC working group (« Orphan molecules »)
- One-pagers already developed for fluazinam, cymoxanil, cyflufenamid and phenylpyroles to be approved by the FRAC steering committee.
- Other molecules currently under consideration:
  - Tricyclazole
  - Metrafenone
  - Dodine
  - Ametoctradin
- Challenge where multiple manufacturers are involved (e.g. pyriofenone or fluopimomide) & generics
### Resistance management recommendations and proposals for Fungicides not included in current working groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Fluazinam</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chemistry</td>
<td>2,6-dinitro-aniline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FRAC MoA Code</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TARGET SITE AND CODE</td>
<td>C5: uncouplers of oxidative phosphorylation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Uses
- Foliar application: *Phytophthora infestans* on potato and tomato; *Sclerotinia* spp. on potato, beans, peanut, wheat, cotton, soybean, carrots; *Botrytis* spp. on beans, grapes, onion and ornamentals; *Venturia inaequalis* on pome fruit, *Colletotrichum* spp. on beans, berries, apples & turf; *Claviceps* spp.; *Rhizoctonia* solani; *Microdochium nivale; Drechslera* spp. on turf; Soil application: *Phytophthora infestans* on potato; *Plasmopara brassicae* on Brassica crops

#### Resistance Status
- The resistance risk is considered low
  - Field resistance has been claimed in *Botrytis* in Japan (beans).
  - Reduced sensitivity of *Phytophthora infestans* has been detected in a clonal lineage (EU: 37) in various European countries.

#### Resistance Mechanism
- Unknown

#### Recommendations

**General Recommendations**
- The use of fluazinam is recommended in the context of a spray program considering an anti-resistance strategy in which different other fungicide classes are included in the program.
- Apply fluazinam preventatively.
- Apply fluazinam in rotation or in mixture with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group with satisfactory efficacy against the target pathogens.
- When targeting high risk pathogens or areas where reduced sensitivity of target pathogens has been documented: Apply fluazinam in mixture whenever possible. If used solo, strict rotation is required with fungicides from a different cross-resistance group. Limit the number of fluazinam applications to max. 50% of the total applications against the target pathogens in a cropping season.
- Soil applications of fluazinam should be considered as part of the total number of allowed applications if it provides activity against foliar pathogens.

**Recommendations for potato (late blight)**
- Apply fluazinam preventatively.
- Maximum of six applications.
- In regions with reported resistance it is recommended to limit the number of fluazinam applications to max. 50% of all applications and use mixtures with fungicides belonging to other modes of action that provide satisfactory efficacy against *Phytophthora infestans*.
- No more than 3 sequential applications of fluazinam. In regions with resistance or reduced sensitivity apply a maximum of 2 sequential applications if product is used solo.
- Refer to manufacturer’s recommendations for rates and intervals.

**Requested by / date**
- Helge Starotzki, Stefano Tomani, Ana Dutton, Syngenta Crop Protection, Araki, ISK

**FRAC SC approval / date**
- 18th June 2020
Mode of Action Labelling Guidance

Mode of Action Labelling
The development of resistance is a critical focus for the crop protection industry. The more farmers use a pesticide with the same mode of action (MoA), without another overlapping MoA and/or non-chemical control measures, the more likely it is that pests will develop resistance. Academics and industry experts agree that sequential applications or applying mixtures of products with different effective MoA’s are key strategies to delay the onset of pest resistance.

The crop protection industry understands the consequences of the development of resistance and is proactively taking the lead in addressing the problem. CropLife International with the support of the Fungicide, Herbicide and Insecticide Resistance Action Committees (RACs), is advancing the understanding and practice of responsible resistance management. All RACs have communication resources which include websites, training modules, brochures and posters to emphasize the need to increase diversity in pest control, in particular by using several efficient MOAs in sequence or in mixtures.

The inclusion of MoA information on product labels, supported by training and other resources, is critical to ensure growers have the information they need to follow resistance management guidelines. MoA labelling is currently only a regulatory requirement in a small number of countries, however there are strong indications that more countries will make it mandatory in the foreseeable future.

Industry Commitment
To support the widespread adoption of responsible resistance management practices, CropLife International members have voluntarily made a commitment to include MoA icons and groups on all product labels by 2023. The Inclusion of MoA information on product labels will ensure growers have simple access to critical information to support implementation of resistance management.
• FRAC initiated a study to better understand the impact of spray programs on resistance development
• Field protocols comparing strict vs block alternation
• Testing models:
  – CAA on grape downy mildew
  – QoI fungicides on grape powdery mildew
• Efficacy assessments
• Phenotyping and genotyping of pathogen populations before the season, mid-season and end-season
• Technically challenging…